



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

A Mexican-Aryan Comparative Vocabulary. The Radicals of the Mexican or Nautl Language with Their Cognates in the Aryan Languages of the Old World, chiefly Sanskrit, Greek, Latin, and Germanic. By T. S. DENISON, A.M., Author of *Mexican in Aryan Phonology*, and *The Primitive Aryans of America*. Chicago: T. S. Denison, Publisher, 1909.

In the introduction the author explains why his discovery, already made known in his previous publications, that "Mexican is an Aryan language closely akin to Sanskrit and Avestan but more primitive than either, in fact Aryan of the proethnic period," has not received appropriate recognition among scholars or in the daily press. To one who is convinced that "the proofs are just as good that Mexican is Aryan as they are that English is Aryan" the reason can only be that "radically new ideas make way slowly" and that "incredulous silence or caviling opposition is what great discoveries have invariably encountered." A colleague of the reviewer's is quoted to the effect that philologists should either accept the work or try to refute it, and the author rules out the excuse of "too busy" by stating that "any comparative philologist may decide in an hour's time as to the value of the work, and it is not necessary to understand Mexican." With this encouragement, and in view of the strong plea for attention from a man of evidently serious purpose, the reviewer has perused this vocabulary and reached the conclusion that the author's thesis is just as irrefutable as the demonstration of kinship of all languages of the earth which was published some years ago by the Italian Trombetti, and which was not denied exploitation in the Italian press. One is disappointed at the small percentage of really striking coincidences, such as might rank with the famous equations of Lycian *lada* 'woman' with English *lady*, or Mexican *teotl* 'god' with Greek *θεός* (our author prefers to connect *teotl* with Latin *deus*, etc.). The great majority of the comparisons are so far-fetched that they fail even to excite "hostile surprise" or to add anything to the statistics of coincidence.

C. D. BUCK

The Acropolis of Athens. By MARTIN L. D'OOGHE. New York: Macmillan, 1908. pp. xx+405+v. \$4.00 net.

This book, "a labor of love" and the fruit of years of painstaking study, is the most exhaustive and interesting account that has yet been published of the Acropolis and its monuments, and will be of lasting service. The author says in his preface that the book "is an attempt to give a summary of the most important contributions to this history [of